

Auditor's Annual Report

North East Derbyshire District Council –
year ended 31 March 2021

December 2021



Contents

- 01** Introduction
- 02** Audit of the financial statements
- 03** Commentary on VFM arrangements
- 04** Other reporting responsibilities

Our reports are prepared in the context of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd. Reports and letters prepared by appointed auditors and addressed to members or officers are prepared for the sole use of the Council. No responsibility is accepted to any member or officer in their individual capacity or to any third party.

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales

01

Section 01: **Introduction**

1. Introduction

Purpose of the Auditor's Annual Report

Our Auditor's Annual Report (AAR) summarises the work we have undertaken as the auditor for North East Derbyshire District Council ('the Council') for the year ended 31 March 2021. Although this report is addressed to the Council, it is designed to be read by a wider audience including members of the public and other external stakeholders.

Our responsibilities are defined by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') issued by the National Audit Office ('the NAO'). The remaining sections of the AAR outline how we have discharged these responsibilities and the findings from our work. These are summarised below.



Opinion on the financial statements

We issued our audit report on 30 September 2021. Our opinion on the financial statements was unqualified.



Value for Money arrangements

In our audit report issued we reported that we had not completed our work on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources and had not issued recommendations in relation to identified significant weaknesses in those arrangements at the time of reporting. Section 3 confirms that we have now completed this work and provides our commentary on the Council's arrangements.



Wider reporting responsibilities

We have not yet received group instructions from the National Audit Office confirming their requirements in relation to the Council's Whole of Government Accounts. We are unable to issue our audit certificate until this is formally confirmed.

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of the Council and to consider any objection made to the accounts. We did not receive any questions or objections in respect of the Council's financial statements.

Introduction

Audit of the financial statements

Commentary on VFM arrangements

Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

02

Section 02:

Audit of the financial statements

2. Audit of the financial statements

The scope of our audit and the results of our opinion

Our audit was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Code, and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs).

The purpose of our audit is to provide reasonable assurance to users that the financial statements are free from material error. We do this by expressing an opinion on whether the statements are prepared, in all material respects, in line with the financial reporting framework applicable to the Council and whether they give a true and fair view of the Council's financial position as at 31 March 2021 and of its financial performance for the year then ended. Our audit report, issued on 30 September 2021 gave an unqualified opinion on the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2021.

Qualitative aspects of the Council's accounting practices

We reviewed the Council's accounting policies and disclosures and concluded they comply with the 2020/21 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting, appropriately tailored to the Council's circumstances.

Draft accounts were received from the Council well in advance of the revised statutory deadline and were of a good quality. The accounts were supported by high quality working papers and we received full cooperation from the Finance team in responding to our queries on a prompt basis.

Significant difficulties during the audit

During the course of the audit we had the full co-operation of management. The audit was again carried out remotely but there were no significant difficulties in carrying out our normal audit procedures and obtaining the audit evidence required to complete the audit. We are grateful for the co-operation and support provided by management.

Internal Control recommendations

As part of our audit we considered the internal controls in place that are relevant to the preparation of the financial statements. We did this to design audit procedures that allow us to express our opinion on the financial statements, but this did not extend to us expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls. Based on the audit work carried out this year, we have not identified any significant control deficiencies in 2020/21

03

Section 03: **Commentary on VFM arrangements**

3. VFM arrangements – Overall summary

Approach to Value for Money arrangements work

We are required to consider whether the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out and sets out the reporting criteria that we are required to consider. The reporting criteria are:

- **Financial sustainability** - How the Council plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services
- **Governance** - How the Council ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks
- **Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness** - How the Council uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

At the planning stage of the audit, we undertake work so we can understand the arrangements that the Council

has in place under each of the reporting criteria; as part of this work we may identify risks of significant weaknesses in those arrangements.

Where we identify significant risks, we design a programme of work (risk-based procedures) to enable us to decide whether there is a significant weakness in arrangements. Although we describe this work as planning work, we keep our understanding of arrangements under review and update our risk assessment throughout the audit to reflect emerging issues that may suggest there are further risks of significant weaknesses.

Where our risk-based procedures identify actual significant weaknesses in arrangements, we are required to report these and make recommendations for improvement.

The table below summarises the outcomes of our work against each reporting criteria. We did not identify any risks of significant weakness, or actual significant weakness, in the Council's arrangements. On the following page we outline further detail of the work we have undertaken against each reporting criteria, including the judgements we have applied.

Reporting criteria	Commentary page reference	Risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements identified?	Actual significant weaknesses in arrangements identified?
Financial sustainability	9	No	No
Governance	11	No	No
Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness	14	No	No

3. VFM arrangements – Financial Sustainability

Overall commentary on the Financial Sustainability reporting criteria

Background to the Council's operating environment in 2020/21

The Council entered 2020/21 at the start of the national lockdown, and faced a significant operational impact from the effects of the pandemic. In response to the Covid-19 pandemic, central government made a series of policy announcements, a number of which have impacted on local authorities such as North East Derbyshire. During the 2020/21 year the Council dealt with a wide range of issues to support local residents and businesses.

Some of the Government's initiatives in response to the Covid-19 pandemic have been backed by additional funding, and the Council received a range of government grants during 2020/21 to either support local businesses/individuals or meet the Council's own costs. The Council received £1.4m of Covid-19 Response funding to cover the Council's extra costs. The Council also received around £1.1m relating to income compensation scheme for the sales, fees and charges income lost during the lockdown periods.

2020/21 Financial statement performance

We have carried out a high level analysis of the audited financial statements, including the Balance Sheet and Movement in Reserves Statement and the Balance Sheet.

The Council's balance sheet does not give us cause for concern relating to financial stability. Net current assets have increased from £24.4m to £31.5m, with cash and cash equivalents increasing by £10.4m. Short term creditors have increased from £10.6m to £15.2m, which as at other councils this year is largely due to deferred Covid-19 government grants.

The most significant change in the balance sheet relates to movements in the Council's share of the pension fund net liability (being a deficit position) of £58.2m, up from £39.8m in the prior year. It is not unusual to see material movements in the net pension liability and this is consistent with our experience at other local authorities. The deficit position is not unusual and is a recognised area of financial challenge for local authorities.

The Council's useable reserves have increased from £57.1m to £69.4m in 2020/21, with:

- General Fund and Earmarked Reserves of £22.5m, up from £15.4m in 2019/20;

- Capital Receipts and Grants Reserves of £7.0m, up from £5.1m in 2019/20; and
- Housing Revenue Account Balances of £37.7m, up from £36.3m in 2019/20.

These reserves provide some mitigation against future financial challenges, and include specific reserves (Invest to Save Reserve £3.4m, Resilience Reserve £2.3m and Business Rates Reserve £6.8m) to address future volatility and support savings and efficiencies plans. The Council will need to continue to ensure that any use of reserves to smooth the financial position over the next few years is properly planned and the use of reserves cannot be relied on to provide a long term solution to funding gaps.

Notwithstanding this, our work has not highlighted a risk of significant weakness in the Council's arrangements for ensuring financial sustainability.

Financial planning and monitoring arrangements

In February 2020 the Council set balanced General Fund and Housing Revenue Account budgets for the 2020/21 financial year. During the year the Council reported its financial position through the quarterly financial performance reports, and carried out a thorough mid-year review before agreeing the revised budget in October 2020. We reviewed a sample of reports presented for 2020/21, which contain detail on any significant variances to budget and an update on performance against savings targets. The reports also contain information on progress against the approved capital programme and reasons for over or underspends against the budget profile to provide adequate scrutiny and oversight.

The Council reported the final revenue outturn position for the 2020/21 year as in-year saving of £627k against the budgeted total General Fund spend of £8,627k and a £115k deficit against the budgeted Housing Revenue Account budget of £12,399k. The main savings were in relation to staff (£349k) and transport costs (£101k) related budgets. We have considered the arrangements in place in respect of budget management as part of the Governance criteria on page 11.

3. VFM arrangements – Financial Sustainability

Overall commentary on the Financial Sustainability reporting criteria (continued)

Arrangements for the identification, management and monitoring of funding gaps and savings

The Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is a current plus four year plan which sets out the Council's commitment to provide services that meet the needs of people locally and that represent good value for money within the overall resources available to it. A key part of the strategy is to highlight the budget issues that will need to be addressed by the Council over the coming financial years, by forecasting the level of available resources from all sources and budget pressures relating to both capital and revenue spending as well as assessing sufficient reserves and provisions are held for past and unknown events which may impact on the Council's resources. The MTFP is prepared alongside other plans and strategies (for example the workforce planning and Capital and Investment Strategies). There is a process in place for challenging any growth items and agreeing the achievability of planned savings.

Arrangements and approach to 2021/22 financial planning

The arrangements for the 2021/22 budget setting process have largely followed the arrangements in place for 2020/21 but with a better understanding based on the experiences during the year of the impact of Covid-19 on the Council's services. There were still though a number of unavoidable uncertainties regarding likelihood and impact of any future lifting of restrictions or lockdowns, and the availability of any further government support.

Balanced General Fund and Housing Revenue Account budgets for 2021/22 were approved at the February 2021 Council meeting with any required savings confidently expected to be covered by actions in hand. The Cabinet approved the revised 2021/22 budgets in November 2021, following review by the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee. We reviewed the report and confirmed there were few significant changes on the original estimates, with most new growth items being accommodated within existing budgets. The unavoidable £465k cost pressure arising from the necessary changes to the waste recycling arrangements, following the contractor's default, is to initially be met through the Resilience Fund with the medium term funding to be confirmed.

There was an acknowledgement that the roll over of the Local Government Funding Settlement meant that some of the expected changes the Fair Funding Review and in relation to New Homes Bonus and any Business Rates reset were not enforced. Changes in these areas were regarded as a risk through expected loss of funding so their deferral represented a gain to the Council's immediate financial position. The continuing uncertainty does make though strategic financial planning difficult for Councils, particularly in relation to its General Fund services. The MTFP approved in February 2021 forecast a balanced budget for 2021/22 but

shortfalls for 2022/23 onwards rising to £1.6m in 2024/25. To mitigate any losses caused by funding changes and to balance its budget the Council has, as described above, established reserves to support its planned transformation and savings process and to address volatility in funding.

Based on the above considerations we are satisfied there is not a significant weakness in the Council's arrangements in relation to financial sustainability.

3. VFM arrangements – Governance

Overall commentary on the Governance reporting criteria

Risk management and monitoring arrangements

The Council has an established risk management framework and systems in place which are built into the governance structure of the organisation. There is an updated and approved Risk Management Strategy which includes the Council's approach, guidance, the Council's risk appetite and roles and responsibilities. There is a member led Corporate Risk Management Group in place, with input from relevant senior managers. The Group oversees all the Council's operational and strategic risk registers and provides challenge as part of the process. The Group provides regular reports to the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee, Cabinet and the Performance Reporting Group (includes senior managers and cabinet members) to provide assurance on the risk management arrangements in place and confirm that they are regularly reviewed and are working effectively. These arrangements are consistent with what we would expect at a local authority and are adequate for the Council's purposes.

In order to provide assurance over the effective operation of internal controls, including arrangements to prevent and detect fraud, the Council is a member of the Internal Audit Consortium which is a shared service with neighbouring councils. The Consortium Manager acts as Head of Internal Audit and the service has been externally assessed as meeting the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. The annual Internal Audit plan is agreed with management at the start of the financial year and is reviewed by the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee prior to final approval.

The audit plan is based on an assessment of risks the Council faces and is designed to ensure there is assurance on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. The planned work can be supplemented if necessary by ad hoc reviews in respect of suspected irregularities and other work commissioned by Officers and Members of the Council where relevant to respond to emerging risks and issues. We have reviewed the Internal Audit plans for 2020/21 and 2021/22 and confirmed they are consistent with the risk based approach.

Internal Audit progress reports are presented to each Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee meeting including follow up reporting on recommendations from previous Internal Audit reports. From our attendance at meetings, we are satisfied this allows the Committee to effectively hold management to account. At the end of each financial year the Head of Internal Audit provides an opinion based on the work completed during the year. For 2020/21 the Head of Internal Audit concluded that a reasonable level of assurance could be provided on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's framework for governance, risk

management and control.

Throughout the year we have attended Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee meetings. Through attendance at these meetings we have confirmed that the committee receive regular updates on both internal audit progress and risk management in the form of risk registers. We have seen active Member engagement from the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee who challenge the papers and reports which they receive from officers, internal audit and external audit.

Arrangements for budget setting and budgetary control

The Council has an established set of arrangements in place for budget setting and control. The process is set out and approved through the Constitution, which encompasses the budget setting rules and financial procedures. The framework includes:

- Clear responsibilities, including the role of the Section 151 Officer in leading the budget setting process and providing professional advice, and the reservation of the approval of the Budget to the Council
- Budget setting guidance to managers, with the process normally starting in August to get an early understanding of the key relevant factors and future budget requirements. Although existing budgets are in most cases used as a basis determining the next year's estimates they are not merely rolled forward with early challenge to staff number assumptions and growth and savings proposals.
- Close working between the finance team and with external advisors and neighbouring councils to agree the key budget assumptions, which are challenged and agreed through the budget review process by the Senior Management Team, Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee, Cabinet and Council.

Following approval of the budget, budget monitoring commences to monitor progress against targets. Budget monitoring responsibilities of budget holders are documented and they are supported in this role by the finance team. Budget monitoring reports are produced on a monthly basis and there are regular meetings held, including finance team members, to discuss the financial performance and forecasts. There are rules in place regarding the reporting of budget variances and budget changes. The financial management system (FMS) has an e-purchasing module which ensures orders can only be placed where there is a budget in place. This 'commitment accounting' ensures as soon as an order is placed that the available budget is reduced.

3. VFM arrangements – Governance

Overall commentary on the Governance reporting criteria (continued)

There are similar processes and controls in place for development and control of the capital programme alongside the revenue budget setting. The Treasury Strategy reports (encompassing the Treasury Management, Capital and Corporate Investment Strategies) are approved at the same time as the revenue budgets and monitored and reported on throughout the year.

There are the rules in place regarding changes to the original budget, with Cabinet approval required for any revisions. The opportunity to review the budget was especially important in 2020/21 given the likely impact of Covid-19 was largely unknown at the time the original budget was approved. The updated 2020/21 budget was approved by the Cabinet, following review by the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee, in October 2020.

Quarterly Financial performance monitoring reports are presented to the Performance Reporting Group, Cabinet and Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee. The reports cover General Fund and Housing Revenue Account spend and income to date and forecast against budget, Capital Programme progress and Treasury Management activities, and forecast significant variations are investigated and reported on, together with any corrective action being taken. Our review of the relevant meeting minutes confirmed there was challenge and scrutiny of the process. The impact of Covid-19 on financial performance is apparent from the reports through 2020/21 and any material budget variances were identified and explained at an appropriate stage. There were no significant unexpected over or underspends reported at the year-end.

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) has published its Financial Management (FM) Code to provide guidance for good practice in financial management and to assist local authorities in demonstrating their financial sustainability. The first full year of compliance with the FM code is 2021/22. The Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee received in July 2021 a report from the Head of Finance and Resources summarising Internal Audit's assessment of the Council's compliance with the standards set out in the Code. Internal Audit found that the Council complied with the majority of the Code requirements already and management is working to address the small number of areas for improvement identified.

Decision making arrangements and control framework

The Council has an established governance structure in place which is set out within its Annual Governance Statement (AGS). We reviewed the AGS and observed the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee's review of the AGS and monitoring of actions throughout the year in relation to any significant governance issues.

The governance structure, as described in the AGS includes the Council's Corporate Governance Code, the Constitution and the scheme of delegation which shows the levels of authority required for all key decisions.

The Council's Corporate Governance Code sets out the governance principles which the Council are committed to and within which the Council conducts its business and affairs. The Local Code identifies the arrangements in place to enable the Council to meet the good governance principles identified.

The required Standards Committee arrangements are in place designed to promote and maintain high standards of conduct by members and co-opted Members of the District Council and of the Town and Parish Councils within the District. We have reviewed the Committee's minutes in the year and not identified any matters of concern.

The Constitution is kept under review and updated as required. The Constitution sets out how the Council operates, how decisions are made and the procedures to support the Council's aims of being transparent and accountable. The Constitution includes the Budget and Policy Framework Rules, Finance Rules and Contract Rules, Member and Officer codes of conduct. There are arrangements in place for the Scrutiny Committees to be made aware of 'Key Decisions' taken by the Cabinet or planned, as well as defining what a Key Decision is. The Constitution sets out the rules and process for Decisions to be 'called in' by Committees. No Key Decisions have been 'called in' during 2020/21.

The Scrutiny Committee arrangements have been shaped to reflect Council priorities with there being three themed Committees (Communities, Growth and Organisation) to support the work of the Cabinet and the Council as a whole. The Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee provides further focus on risk, governance and control matters. The Scrutiny Committees have work programmes in place to steer their coverage of services and Cabinet key decisions. There is also a Shared Services Scrutiny Committee in place which scrutinises the joint working arrangements with neighbouring councils. We have reviewed the Scrutiny Committees' minutes throughout the year and not identified any concerns.

3. VFM arrangements – Governance

Overall commentary on the Governance reporting criteria (continued)

Regulators

There are few external regulators for district councils and we have not identified any matters reported which indicate significant weaknesses in the Council's governance arrangements. We reviewed the Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman's (LGSCO) 2020/21 report which was considered by the Standards Committee at its November 2021 meeting. The Committee report summarised management's response to the matters raised by LGSCO and the further strengthening of the Compliments, Comments and Complaints process.

Based on the above considerations we are satisfied there is not a significant weakness in the Council's arrangements in relation to governance.

3. VFM arrangements – Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness

Overall commentary on the Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness reporting criteria

Performance Management

The 2019-23 Council Plan set out the Council's priorities in relation to Economy, Environment, Residents and Services. The Council has identified the key performance indicators, and target levels of performance, in relation to these priorities. The performance targets are informed by national standards, local benchmarking and experience and subject to initial challenge and confirmation.

The Council has in place a performance management framework which includes identified responsibilities of managers and processes for regular performance reporting and corrective action if required. Portfolio Holders meet regularly with Heads of Service and Directors to discuss, amongst other things, the performance of services against targets. There is quarterly reporting to the Performance Reporting Group, Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee and the Cabinet. These quarterly reports take the form of a dashboard and identify whether the performance is achieved or on/off track, including whether the performance is affected by Covid-19. The quarterly reports include an appropriate commentary to explain any significant factors which are affecting performance and actions being taken to correct performance.

On an annual basis, the Council's overall performance is summarised in the Narrative Report as part of the Statement of Accounts. This outlines the Council's progress against its ambitions, highlighting key successes and risk areas. The Narrative Report also includes an agreed plan for subsequent years, including any areas for improvement. This provides the public with an overall assessment of the Council activities for the financial year.

We have reviewed a sample of the managers' detailed performance reports and observed the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee's review and challenge of the quarterly reports. The quarterly reports demonstrate that performance has been managed throughout the 2020/21 year and any significant variances have been justified, with no major unexpected gaps in performance at the year end. The process has continued in the first half of 2021/22. Overall, we believe there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate adequate arrangements for performance monitoring and management at the Council.

Partnerships

There are a number of significant partnership arrangements in place, through which the Council works to deliver services in line with its ambitions and priorities. These include:

- The Strategic Alliance with Bolsover East Derbyshire District Council which saw the two Council's for a number of years sharing a number of senior manager posts. Although this has become less so in 2021/22 with both Council's appointing its own Corporate Directors and Head of Paid Service, the Monitoring Officer post continues to be a joint appointment.
- Shared service and joint arrangements with other local authorities covering Environmental Health, ICT, Payroll, Procurement, Internal Audit and the Chesterfield Crematorium.
- The Local Strategic Partnership for the District and comprises partners from the public, private, community and voluntary sectors with the aim of promoting the social, economic and environmental well-being of the area.
- The Local Enterprise Partnership, D2N2.

There are relevant governance frameworks in place for these arrangements and the Council continues to keep its role in these activities under review. The Council has also since 2017/18 been party to a joint venture through Northwood Group Limited, with the objective of providing more housing and commercial developments on the Council's land in the area. The Council's total investment in this venture at the end of 2020/21 was around £1.7m.

The Council established Rykneld Homes Limited in 2007 to act as an Arms Length Management Organisation for the management and maintenance of its housing stock. There is a governance and performance management framework in place, with a review of the management agreement in progress to ensure the arrangement continues to be fit for purpose.

3. VFM arrangements – Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness

Overall commentary on the Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness reporting criteria (continued)

Procurement

The Council has a Procurement Strategy and set of Contract Procurement Rules which outlines how the procurement of goods, works and services is achieved. These documents take into account latest legislative and operational changes at the Council, and provide a corporate framework for the procurement of goods, works and services. There are also controls in place designed to ensure that all procurement activity is conducted with openness, honesty and accountability.

There is a specialist Procurement unit which is a shared service with Bolsover District Council as part of the strategic alliance arrangement. The Unit also provides a service under a service level agreement to another Borough Council and supports parish council's in their procurement. The Council has specific arrangements through standing financial instructions and purchase order controls and our work on the financial statements has not identified any significant internal control deficiencies in these areas.

Based on the above considerations we are satisfied there is not a significant weakness in the Council's arrangements in relation to improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

04

Section 04:

**Other reporting responsibilities and
our fees**

4. Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

Matters we report by exception

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 provides auditors with specific powers where matters come to our attention that, in their judgement, require specific reporting action to be taken. Auditors have the power to:

- issue a report in the public interest;
- make statutory recommendations that must be considered and responded to publicly;
- apply to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to the law; and
- issue an advisory notice.

We have not exercised any of these statutory reporting powers.

The 2014 Act also gives rights to local electors and other parties, such as the right to ask questions of the auditor and the right to make an objection to an item of account. We did not receive any such objections or questions.

Reporting to the NAO in respect of Whole of Government Accounts consolidation data

We have not yet received group instructions from the National Audit Office confirming their requirements in relation to the Council's Whole of Government Accounts. We are unable to issue our audit certificate until this is formally confirmed.

4. Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

Fees for work as the Council's auditor

We reported our proposed fees for the delivery of our work under the Code of Audit Practice in our Audit Strategy Memorandum and Audit Completion Report presented to the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee in April and September 2021 respectively. Our current fees estimate is set out below. We will agree the final fee, and any further variations, with management prior to reporting to the Audit and Corporate Governance Scrutiny Committee.

Area of work	2019/20 fees	2020/21 fees
Scale fee in respect of our work under the Code of Audit Practice	£43,510	£43,510
Additional testing as a result of changes arising from increased audit quality expectations involving the work on the valuation of land and buildings and on the local government pension scheme	£7,067	£7,067
Additional testing as a result of the implementation of new auditing standards	-	£2,016
Other additional testing - new significant audit risks for 2020/21 (Covid-19 grant recognition) and additional testing and reporting in 2019/20 on uncertainties in key estimates as a result of Covid-19	£5,032	£1,188
Additional work as a result of the new Code of Audit Practice and VFM reporting	-	TBC*
Total fees	£55,609	£53,781*

* The original estimate reported in our Audit Strategy Memorandum for this work was at least £10,000 or 20% of the revised fee. The final fee estimate for the work, and total fees, have not yet been confirmed, but are estimated to be £8,000 - £10,000.

4. Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

Fees for other work

We confirm that we undertook the following non-audit services for the Council in the year.

Certification of the 2019/20 Housing Benefit Subsidy Claim	£7,000
Pooling of Housing Capital Receipts Return	£4,000

Introduction

Audit of the financial statements

Commentary on VFM arrangements

Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

Mark Surridge, Director – Public Services

mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk

Mazars

2 Chamberlain Square
Birmingham
B3 3AX

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

*where permitted under applicable country laws.